



D&D/Facilities Subcommittee

Thursday, November 19, 2015 @ 6:00 p.m.

Agenda

Chair

Renie Barger

Vice-Chair

Mike Kemp

Subcommittee Members

Victoria Caldwell

Judy Clayton

Basil Drossos

Ben Peterson

Richard Rushing

Call to Order

Introduction

Election of Subcommittee Chair

Brief Discussion of DOE Projects

Prioritize list for Work Plan

Next Steps and Actions

Adjourn

Jennifer Woodard

DOE DDFD

Buz Smith

DOE Federal Coordinator

Board Liaisons

April Webb

Division of Waste

Management

Julie Corkran

Environmental Protection Agency

Mike Hardin

Fish and Wildlife Resources

Stephanie Brock

Radiation Health Branch

Support Services

EHI Consultants, Inc.

111 Memorial Drive

Paducah, KY 42001

Phone 270.554.3004

Fax 270.554.3248

www.pgdpcab.energy.gov

info@pgdpcab.org



PADUCAH GASEOUS DIFFUSION PLANT CITIZENS ADVISORY BOARD

115 Memorial Drive • Paducah, Kentucky 42001 • (270) 554-3004 • info@pgdpcab.org • www.pgdpcab.energy.gov

Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant Citizens Advisory Board D&D/Facilities Subcommittee Session Summary November 19, 2015

The Citizens Advisory Board (CAB) met at the Environmental Information Center (EIC) in Paducah, Kentucky on Thursday, November 19th at 7:00 p.m.

Board members present: Judy Clayton, Ben Peterson, Renie Barger, Dick Rushing, and Victoria Caldwell.

DOE and subcontractors present: Jennifer Woodard, DOE; Jim Ethridge, EHI Consultants (EHI); Yvette Cantrell, Restoration Services Inc. (RSI).

Board Regulators present: none

Public present: none

Cantrell opened the meeting. She then turned over the meeting to **Woodard** to explain how DOE classified different work projects at the site. **Woodard** reviewed the differences between having to perform the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) process and performing an Engineering Evaluation/Cost Analysis (EE/CA) to determine the path forward cleaning up the site. She also explained the differences between a maintenance action and an action memo. **Woodard** then explained the process of determining a Solid Waste Management Unit (SWMU), and how they are handled.

Woodard indicated that she thought the first goal of the subcommittee would be to educate the members on terminology and facilities at the site. Another goal she considered would be for the subcommittee to inform DOE about their preferences for cleanup at the site. She said that another goal would be to help DOE identify flywheel projects that could be done if Congress gave the site extra money to accomplish smaller tasks. **Peterson** asked what flywheel projects were. **Woodard** explained they were projects that were “ground ready.”

Ethridge asked **Cantrell** if the subcommittee could receive a copy of the decision tree that **Woodard** mentioned.

Caldwell asked what the GIS model was that was mentioned by **Cantrell**. **Peterson** explained that it was an online map of the site with different layers showing different aspects of the area.

Rushing asked if DOE already knows what they will be doing in the future, based on known funding. **Woodard** said that that was right. She indicated that she needed recommendations on what to do in the future. **Cantrell** and **Peterson** explained that work at the site is planned about three years in advance.

Cantrell indicated that the subcommittee would also be looking at Waste Disposal Alternatives as a part of their concerns. **Woodard** indicated that the final decision had not been made about a waste cell at the site, but she felt like it would be approved. She also said that there would be some time before that decision is made and the cell constructed. That was a plus for this site because it would take 8-10 years for deactivation of the process buildings to have a need for the cell.

The areas that the Subcommittee decided to concentrate on were:

1. The D&D decision making process
2. Simple vs. Complex Deactivation
3. Flywheel projects

Clayton asked if **Woodard** anticipated needing any recommendations from this subcommittee. **Woodard** indicated that she needed input, but not necessarily in the form of a recommendation.

Barger asked if it was bad for a project, once started, to have to stop because of lack of funds. **Woodard** said that it depended on where you start or stop the work. **Clayton** asked if the C-400 Cleaning building would be taken down to slab, or how would they remediate contaminates under the building. **Woodard** indicated that that had now been planned yet.

Clayton asked how recycling would come into play during the dismantling of the switchyards. **Woodard** said that it would just be a part of the process of dismantling.

Barger pointed out that in nominating a subcommittee Chair, that person needed to be available for afternoon meetings. The group nominated **Drossos** to be Chair. **Clayton** agreed to fill in in that capacity in his absence if needed. **Roberts** committed to contacting **Drossos** to offer the position of Chair to him.

The meeting adjourned at 8:15 pm.